npg

iPSC lines that do not silence the expression of the ectopic reprogramming factors may display enhanced propensity to genomic instability

Veronica Ramos-Mejia^{1,*}, Martin Muñoz-Lopez^{1,*}, Jose L Garcia-Perez¹, Pablo Menendez¹

¹Andalusian Stem Cell Bank, Centro de Investigacion Biomedica, CSJA-UGR, Granada, Spain

Here, we provide data suggesting that the absence of silencing of the ectopic reprogramming factors used to reprogram somatic cells to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) may predispose iPSCs to genomic instability. We encourage stem cell scientists to undertake an extensive characterization and standardization of much larger cohorts of iPSC lines in order to set up rigorous criteria to define safe and stable *bona fide* iPSCs.

Keywords: iPSCs; genomic stability; reprogramming factors; gene silencing

Cell Research advance online publication 2010;doi: 10.1038/cr.2010

Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) technology, which uses defined transcription factors to reprogram somatic cells to become pluripotent cells, represents a simple method to generate donor/patient-specific pluripotent stem cells with reduced ethical concerns [1, 2]. Thus, iPSC technology provides unprecedented opportunities in biomedical research and regenerative medicine. Human iPSCs have been derived from different cell types [3-7]. However, still very little information is available about iPSC safety, better ways to direct a specific reprogramming process and the reprogramming mechanisms, which remain a mystery. Based on previous work, it is hypothesized that the transformation resulting from cmyc and Klf4 is followed by a pluripotent cell induction process mediated by ESC-associated transcription factors such as Oct4, Sox2 and Lin28 [8].

Reprogramming of differentiated cells to iPSCs is a stochastic process, but those cells that reach the pluripotency state seem to progress through several milestones in an orderly fashion [9, 10]. Accordingly, live cell imaging studies have very recently suggested that the current

*These two authors contributed equally to this work.

^bE-mail: josel.garcía.perez@juntadeandalucia.es

experimental approaches commonly used to generate iPSCs may eventually give rise to either *bona fide*, fully reprogrammed human iPSCs or just partially reprogrammed cells (pseudo-iPSCs) [11].

Similar to what has been extensively reported for human hESCs, human iPSCs are expected to be genetically stable, since karyotypic/genomic alterations represent a pitfall for potential future downstream applications. Several studies have analyzed the genomic stability of several hESC lines maintained after prolonged *in vitro* culture [12-14]. However, data on the genomic stability of iPSCs after short, medium and long-term culture remain scarce.

We have very recently derived four human iPSC lines using a lentiviral construct that expresses the reprogramming factors Oct4, Klf4, Sox2 and c-myc upon insertion (Figure 1A). Out of the four lines, two (termed iAND-4 and iMSUH) were generated from human fibroblasts and the other two (termed CB-CD34+ iPSC#1 and CB-CD34+ iPSC#2) were generated from cord blood-derived CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells. These lines have been fully characterized and deposited according to the Spanish Legislation in the Spanish Stem Cell Bank (http:// www.isciii.es/htdocs/terapia/terapia lineas.jsp). These iPSC lines show ESC-like morphology, express robust levels of Nanog, Oct4, Rex1 and Sox2, as well as the surface markers SSEA-3, SSEA-4, Tra-1-60 and Tra-1-81. Furthermore, the four iPSC lines display pluripotency potential, giving rise to tissues representing the three germ layers in vitro (by embryoid body formation) and in

Correspondence: Pablo Menéndez^a, Jose L García-Pérez^b

Andalusian Stem Cell Bank, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica, Parque Tecnológico de la Salud, Avda del Conocimiento, Granada 18100, Spain. ^aTel: +34-958-894-672; Fax: +34-958-894-652 E-mail: pablo.menendez@juntadeandalucia.es

2



Figure 1 (A) Cartoon of the lentiviral vector structure used for iPSC generation (top) and RT-PCR demonstrating that the ectopic reprogramming factors (OKSM) have been silenced after 6-8 passages in the three iPSC lines karyotypically euploid, while remaining robustly expressed in the iAND-4 iPSC line that is karyotypically aneuploid (bottom). Primers used are depicted as small blue arrows in the cartoon showing the lentiviral structure. Primers used were: forward (on Oct-4): 5'-GCCTT-TCCCCTGTCTCCGTC-3' and reverse (on KIf-4): 5'-GGCTCCGCCGCTCTCCAGGTCTG-3'. The PCR conditions were as follows: 2 min at 95 °C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C followed by 30 s at 60 °C and 60 s at 72 °C, and a final extension of 5 min at 72 °C. GAPDH was used as a housekeeping control [17, 18]. **(B)** Representative euploid karyotype (G-banding) of several iPSCs (iPSC #1, iPSC #2, iMSUH), which did silence the reprogramming factors. **(C)** Representative aneuploid (47,XY, +1, +5, -17) karyotype of iAND-4 iPSC line (top panel), which did not silence the reprogramming factors. The bottom panel shows a SKY-FISH confirmation of the chromosomal abnormalities. Twenty metaphases were consistently analyzed as previously described [19].

3

vivo (by teratoma formation) (data not shown). Besides, they proved to be bacteria-, fungi- and mycoplasma-free and STR and HLA typing analyses revealed that each iPSC line fully matched the original parental cell line [15, 16].

However, regarding karyotypic/genomic stability we observed an interesting result. The iPSC lines CB-CD34+ iPSC#1, CB-CD34+ iPSC#2 and iMSUH all showed a euploid karyotype after 8-10 passages in vitro (Figure 1B). Notably, ectopic reprogramming factors were found to be silenced in these three iPSC lines (Figure 1A). However, the iAND-4 iPSC line consistently displayed an aneuploid karvotype 47,XY,+1,+5,-17 (analyzed at passage 3 and passage 7; Figure 1C). These chromosomal abnormalities were subsequently confirmed by SKY-FISH (Figure 1C). The intriguing result, however, was that this karyotypically unstable iPSC line did not silence the expression of the reprogramming factors from the proviral insertion. All the original parental somatic cells used for reprogramming (CD34+ cells and fibroblasts) were karyotypically euploid (data not shown), ruling out the possibility that the aneuploid DNA content in the iAND-4 iPSC line was present in the parental cells. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first evidence showing preliminary data highlighting a potential link between lack of proviral silencing and genomic instability in human iPSCs. We therefore hypothesize that the absence of proviral silencing may make iPSCs more prone to genomic instability. This hypothesis may be supported by the fact that two of the reprogramming genes are oncogenes (c-myc and Klf4), whose continuous ectopic expression may make the cells more vulnerable to acquire further oncogenic events and eventually gross chromosomal abnormalities. We envision that epigenetic mechanisms, proviral insertion site and other properties associated with non-viral strategies commonly employed to direct a specific reprogramming process may also play a key role that still demands further exhaustive studies.

Because cell reprogramming is becoming the 'flavor of the month' and many donor-, patient- and tissuespecific iPSC lines are being generated worldwide for downstream applications such as drug screening and specific cell differentiation towards lineages with potential future clinical applications, our preliminary data highlight the need to prospectively further analyze proviral silencing and genomic stability over time using both conventional and molecular cytogenetic technologies. We hope our observation based on four iPSC lines will encourage the stem cell scientific community to undertake rigorous characterization and standardization of much larger cohorts of iPSC lines generated in different laboratories, from different somatic cells and using distinct reprogramming methods including integrative and non-integrative strategies in order to further confirm or refute our proposal and set up the criteria to define putative fully reprogrammed safe and stable iPSCs.

Acknowledgments

PM's group is supported by the CICE (P08-CTS-3678 to PM) de la Junta de Andalucía, the FIS to PM (PI070026), the MINICC to PM (PLE-2009-0111) and the Marie Curie IIF to VR-M (PIIF-GA-2009-236430). JLG-P's group is supported by ISCIII-CSJA (EMER07/056), by a Marie Curie IRG action (FP7-PEOPLE-2007-4-3-IRG), by CICE (P09-CTS-4980) from Junta de Andalucía, by Proyectos de Investigación en Salud PI-002 from Junta de Andalucía, and by the FIS (CP07/00065 & PI08171). We are indebted to Dr Steve Suhr and Prof Jose Cibelli (Michigan State University) for provision of the iPS cell line MSUH001. We also thank Laura Sánchez, Gertrudis Ligero, Iván Gutiérrez-Aranda, Paola Leone and Purificación Catalina for their outstanding technical assistance during the iPSC full characterization.

References

- 1 Cortes JL, Antinolo G, Martinez L, *et al.* Spanish stem cell bank interviews examine the interest of couples in donating surplus human IVF embryos for stem cell research. *Cell Stem Cell* 2007; 1:17-20.
- 2 Zhou H, Ding S. Evolution of induced pluripotent stem cell technology. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2010; **17**:276-280.
- 3 Lowry WE, Richter L, Yachechko R, *et al.* Generation of human induced pluripotent stem cells from dermal fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; **105**:2883-2888.
- 4 Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, et al. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell 2007; 131:861-872.
- 5 Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 2006; **126**:663-676.
- 6 Yu J, Hu K, Smuga-Otto K, *et al.* Human induced pluripotent stem cells free of vector and transgene sequences. *Science* 2009; **324**:797-801.
- 7 Yu J, Vodyanik MA, Smuga-Otto K, *et al.* Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* 2007; **318**:1917-1920.
- 8 Yamanaka S. Strategies and new developments in the generation of patient-specific pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2007; 1:39-49.
- 9 Hochedlinger K, Plath K. Epigenetic reprogramming and induced pluripotency. *Development* 2009; **136**:509-523.
- 10 Subramanyam D, Blelloch R. Watching reprogramming in real time. *Nat Biotechnol* 2009; **27**:997-998.
- 11 Chan EM, Ratanasirintrawoot S, Park IH, *et al.* Live cell imaging distinguishes bona fide human iPS cells from partially reprogrammed cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2009; 27:1033-1037.
- 12 Catalina P, Bueno C, Montes R, *et al.* Genetic stability of human embryonic stem cells: a first-step toward the development of potential hESC-based systems for modeling childhood leukemia. *Leuk Res* 2009; **33**:980-990.

Λ

- 13 Catalina P, Montes R, Ligero G, *et al.* Human ESCs predisposition to karyotypic instability: Is a matter of culture adaptation or differential vulnerability among hESC lines due to inherent properties? *Mol Cancer* 2008; 7:76-84.
- 14 Miura M, Miura Y, Padilla-Nash HM, et al. Accumulated chromosomal instability in murine bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells leads to malignant transformation. *Stem Cells* 2006; 24:1095-1103.
- 15 Cortes JL, Sanchez L, Catalina P, *et al.* Whole-blastocyst culture followed by laser drilling technology enhances the efficiency of inner cell mass isolation and embryonic stem cell derivation from good- and poor-quality mouse embryos: new insights for derivation of human embryonic stem cell lines. *Stem Cells Dev* 2008; **17**:255-267.
- 16 Cortes JL, Sanchez L, Ligero G, et al. Mesenchymal stem

cells facilitate the derivation of human embryonic stem cells from cryopreserved poor-quality embryos. *Hum Reprod* 2009; **24**:1844-1851.

- 17 Barroso-delJesus A, Romero-Lopez C, Lucena-Aguilar G, et al. Embryonic stem cell-specific miR302-367 cluster: human gene structure and functional characterization of its core promoter. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008; 28:6609-6619.
- 18 Montes R, Ligero G, Sanchez L, *et al.* Feeder-free maintenance of hESCs in mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned media: distinct requirements for TGF-beta and IGF-II. *Cell Res* 2009; **19**:698-709.
- 19 Catalina P, Cobo F, Cortes JL, *et al.* Conventional and molecular cytogenetic diagnostic methods in stem cell research: a concise review. *Cell Biol Int* 2007; **31**:861-869.