

CONTENTS

Summary and main conclusions	1
Part I: Current developments and prospects	9
Summary	11
1. Financial and economic crisis hits budgetary developments and prospects	13
1.1. Times of crisis	13
1.2. Fiscal stimulus and large automatic stabilisers support economic activity in the EU	13
1.3. Short-term developments and prospects for the budget balance and public debt	21
1.4. Government revenue and expenditure	25
2. Implementing the SGP - flexibility within the excessive deficit procedure	30
2.1. Introduction	30
2.2. The excessive deficit procedure	30
3. Plans in the stability and convergence programmes acknowledge different room for fiscal manoeuvre	39
3.1. Introduction	39
3.2. A significant deterioration in public finances ongoing since 2008	39
3.3. Fiscal policy in 2009-2010 reflecting differences in room for manoeuvre	41
3.4. Consolidation planned to begin in 2010	43
3.5. Debt developments and sustainability assessments	44
Part II: Evolving budgetary surveillance	55
Summary	57
1. Measuring and assessing fiscal developments in an environment of uncertainty	59
1.1. Accounting for bank rescues	59
2. Discretionary measures and tax elasticities in the EU	64
2.1. Discretionary measures affecting tax revenues in the EU: how important are they?	64
2.2. Correcting the effects of discretionary measures on tax elasticities	66
2.3. Summary and way forward	70
3. The quality of public finances: data and indicators	71
3.1. Introduction	71
3.2. Developing quality of public finances indicators	71
3.3. Progress on providing data on government expenditure by function (COFOG data)	82
4. Fiscal rules, independent institutions and medium-term budgetary frameworks	87
4.1. Introduction	87
4.2. Numerical fiscal rules in EU countries	87
4.3. Independent fiscal institutions	93
4.4. Medium-term budgetary frameworks	94

4.5. Conclusions	98
All. More details on discretionary measures and quality of public finance indicators	100
All.1. Notes on the data collected in the framework of the Output Gap Working Group of the Economic Policy Committee	100
All.2. Proportional adjustment method	101
All.3. List of indicators used for the illustrative calculation of quality of public finances composite indicators	103
Part III: The fiscal costs of financial crises: past evidence and implications for today's crisis	107
Summary	109
1. Introduction	112
2. Key concepts for analysing financial crises and their fiscal costs	113
2.1. Banking crises	113
2.2. Fiscal costs	113
3. Fiscal costs of financial crises: the evidence	116
3.1. Direct fiscal costs and their determinants	116
3.2. Comprehensive estimates of fiscal costs	122
4. Case studies	134
4.1. Japan	134
4.2. Korea	135
4.3. Sweden	135
4.4. Finland	136
4.5. Norway	137
5. General lessons from handling banking crises for fiscal costs	139
5.1. Bank rescue operations and direct fiscal costs	139
5.2. Broad principles on the role of fiscal policy support	142
6. The current crisis, policy responses and fiscal implications for EU Member States	145
6.1. What have so far been the policy responses by EU Member States?	145
6.2. Key characteristics of the current crisis: how does it compare to past crises?	148
6.3. How much can past crises really tell us about policy responses and implications on public finances?	152
7. Conclusions	157
AIII. More details on fiscal costs of financial crises	159
AIII.1. Output costs of financial crisis	159
AIII.2. Assessing the impact of banking crises on government debt	163
Part IV: Public finances in booms and busts	165
Summary	167
1. Introduction	168
1.1. Booms, busts and public finances	168
1.2. Credit, property prices and macroeconomic developments in the EU	168
2. Public finances during the boom	171
2.1. Recent developments in public finances	171
2.2. Housing markets and public finances	172

2.3.	A closer look at tax revenue windfalls/shortfalls	176
2.4.	Model simulations with QUEST III	179
3.	Public finances during the bust	182
3.1.	The fiscal policy response to the financial crisis: fiscal space matters	182
3.2.	Fiscal space and sovereign bond spreads	186
3.3.	Fiscal stimulus with limited fiscal space: simulation results with the QUESTIII model	187
3.4.	Fiscal policy during the bust: the role of fiscal space	188
4.	Conclusions	192
AIV.	Data source and methods	194
AIV.1.	Determinants of revenues windfalls and shortfalls	194
AIV.2.	Variables definition, sources and calculation of the fiscal space composite indicator	194
Part V:	Member State developments	197
1.	Belgium	198
2.	Bulgaria	200
3.	The Czech Republic	202
4.	Denmark	204
5.	Germany	206
6.	Estonia	209
7.	Ireland	212
8.	Greece	215
9.	Spain	217
10.	France	220
11.	Italy	223
12.	Cyprus	226
13.	Latvia	228
14.	Lithuania	230
15.	Luxembourg	232
16.	Hungary	234
17.	Malta	236
18.	The Netherlands	238
19.	Austria	240
20.	Poland	242
21.	Portugal	245
22.	Romania	247
23.	Slovenia	249
24.	Slovakia	251
25.	Finland	254
26.	Sweden	256
27.	United Kingdom	258
Part VI:	Resources	261
1.	Abbreviations and symbols used	262
2.	Glossary	267

3. References	274
4. Useful internet links	282

LIST OF TABLES

I.1.1.	Fiscal stimulus measures in 2009 and 2010 by Member State (in % GDP)	14
I.1.2.	Euro area - General government budget balance (% of GDP)	21
I.1.3.	Budget balances of EU Member States (% of GDP)	22
I.1.4.	Composition of changes in the general government gross debt-to-GDP ratio in EU Member States (% of GDP)	24
I.1.5.	Euro area - Government revenue and expenditure (% of GDP)	24
I.1.6.	Government revenue and expenditure (% of GDP)	26
I.2.1.	Overview EDP steps - Euro area Member States	37
I.2.2.	Overview EDP steps - Non-euro area Member States	38
I.3.1.	Bank rescue packages	45
I.3.2.	Budgetary developments according to the 2008-2009 Stability and Convergence Programme updates	46
I.3.3.	Overview of the Council Opinions on the SCPs – Summary assessments and policy invitations	47
II.1.1.	Accounting for bank rescues: a summary	63
II.2.1.	Annual shares of discretionary measures in tax revenue levels: average 2001-2007	66
II.3.1.	Number of indicators used for composite calculations	74
II.3.2.	Distribution and classification of scores	75
II.3.3.	Illustrative QPF composite indicators: alternative weighting methods	77
II.3.4.	Illustrative QPF indicators for sub-dimension QPF 3 (composition, efficiency and effectiveness of expenditure)	78
II.3.5.	Robustness test for using alternative weighting methods: correlation coefficients of results	79
II.4.1.	Target definitions by type of rule	90
II.4.2.	Influence of fiscal rules on the primary CAB (EU-27, 1990-2008)	93
II.All.1.	Data collected on discretionary measures affecting tax elasticities	101
II.All.2.	List of indicators used for the illustrative calculation of quality of public finances composite indicators	103
III.3.1.	Direct fiscal costs of banking crises	117
III.3.2.	Crisis measures and direct fiscal costs	119
III.3.3.	The determinants of the fiscal recovery rate	121
III.3.4.	General government balances during banking crises (% of GDP)	122
III.3.5.	Implications of crises for general government expenditure and revenue ratios (% of GDP)	124
III.3.6.	Primary general government balances during banking crises (% of GDP)	125
III.3.7.	Breakdown of changes in primary budgetary balance	126
III.3.8.	Change in gross public debt during crises episodes	128
III.3.9.	The impact of financial crises on public debt	130
III.4.1.	Japan – Fiscal packages, 1992-93 (% of GDP, project cost basis)	135
III.4.2.	Sweden - Key fiscal indicators (% of GDP)	136
III.4.3.	Finland – Key fiscal indicators (% of GDP)	137
III.4.4.	Norway – Key fiscal indicators (% of GDP)	138
III.5.1.	Experience with "bad banks" (Asset Management Companies) during banking crises	142
III.6.1.	EU public interventions in the banking sector as of end-March 2009 (in % of GDP)	147

III.6.2.	Fiscal stimulus measures in the EU (2009-10) (% of GDP)	147
III.6.3.	EU Member States – Key fiscal indicators	148
III.6.4.	External stimulus factors to recover from the crisis	153
III.6.5.	Risk scenarios for direct fiscal costs	153
AIII.1.	Fiscal costs in systemic banking crises (1970-2007)	160
AIII.2.	Crisis containment and resolution policies (1970-2007)	161
AIII.3.	Correlation among different crises resolution measures (1970-2007)	162
AIII.4.	Exemplary calculations of output costs using the growth and level methods	162
IV.1.1.	Key macroeconomic developments before the financial crisis: 1998-2007	170
V.1.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2013, Belgium (% of GDP)	199
V.1.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Belgium	199
V.2.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Bulgaria (% of GDP)	201
V.2.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Bulgaria	201
V.3.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Czech Republic (% of GDP)	203
V.3.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Czech Republic	203
V.4.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2015, Denmark (% of GDP)	205
V.4.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Denmark	205
V.5.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Germany (% of GDP)	207
V.5.2.	Main measures in the budget for 2009, Germany	207
V.6.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Estonia (% of GDP)	210
V.6.2.	Main measures in the budget for 2009, Estonia	210
V.7.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Ireland (% of GDP)	213
V.7.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Ireland	213
V.8.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Greece (% of GDP)	216
V.8.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Greece	216
V.9.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Spain (% of GDP)	218
V.9.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Spain	218
V.9.3.	GDP effects of fiscal measures	219
V.10.1.	Increase in revenue (in % of GDP) given a 10% increase in equity and real estate prices	221
V.10.2.	Budgetary developments 2007-2013, France (% of GDP)	222
V.10.3.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, France	222
V.11.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Italy (% of GDP)	224
V.11.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Italy	224
V.11.3.	Net financial assets (stocks - % of GDP)	225
V.12.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Cyprus (% of GDP)	227
V.12.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Cyprus	227
V.13.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Latvia (% of GDP)	229
V.13.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Latvia	229
V.14.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Lithuania (% of GDP)	231
V.14.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Lithuania	231
V.15.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2010, Luxembourg (% of GDP)	233
V.15.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Luxembourg	233
V.16.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Hungary (% of GDP)	235
V.16.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Hungary	235
V.17.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Malta (% of GDP)	237
V.17.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Malta	237
V.18.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Netherlands (% of GDP)	239
V.18.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Netherlands	239
V.19.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Austria (% of GDP)	241
V.19.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Austria	241
V.20.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Poland (% of GDP)	243
V.20.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Poland	243

V.21.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Portugal (% of GDP)	246
V.21.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Portugal	246
V.22.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Romania (% of GDP)	248
V.22.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Romania	248
V.23.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Slovenia (% of GDP)	250
V.23.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Slovenia	250
V.24.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2012, Slovakia (% of GDP)	252
V.24.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009, Slovakia	252
V.25.1.	Budgetary developments 2006-2011, Finland (% of GDP)	255
V.25.2.	Main measures in the budget for 2009, Finland	255
V.26.1.	Budgetary developments 2007-2011, Sweden (% of GDP)	257
V.26.2.	Main measures in the budget for 2009, Sweden	257
V.27.1.	Budgetary developments 2007/08-2013/14, United Kingdom (% of GDP)	259
V.27.2.	Main budgetary measures for 2009/10, United Kingdom	259
V.27.3.	Fiscal costs of banking sector credit losses	260

LIST OF GRAPHS

I.3.1.	Government balances in 2007 and 2008 (% of GDP)	40
I.3.2.	Budgetary implementation in 2008	40
I.3.3.	External and government net lending in 2008 in the Commission services' spring 2009 forecast	41
I.3.4.	Planned change of government balance in 2010 with respect to 2008, according to the Stability and Convergence Programmes	42
I.3.5.	Projected change of government balance in 2010 with respect to 2008 in the Commission services' spring 2009 forecast	42
I.3.6.	Change in real GDP growth rate 2009 with respect to 2008 and change in the government balance over 2009-2010 in the Stability and Convergence Programmes	43
I.3.7.	Changes in government net lending in the EU27 Member States in 2010 and over the whole 2009-2010 period	43
I.3.8.	Estimated structural balances in the Stability and Convergence Programmes updates	43
I.3.9.	Debt ratios in the EU27 Member States in 2008 and developments planned over the whole 2009-2011 period	45
I.3.10.	Overall risk classification and the sustainability gap (\$2 in the '2008' scenario)	45
II.2.1.	Correlation between gross and net apparent elasticities	67
II.2.2.	Differences in level between gross and net apparent elasticities	67
II.2.3.	Gross and corrected (for the impact of discretionary measures) tax elasticities: Social security contributions	68
II.2.4.	Gross and corrected (for the impact of discretionary measures) tax elasticities: Direct taxes	69
II.2.5.	Gross and corrected (for the impact of discretionary measures) tax elasticities: indirect taxes	70
II.3.1.	Range of composite indicators using the random weights method	80
II.3.2.	Illustrative composite indicator in education and public spending in education	81
II.3.3.	Illustrative composite indicator in health and public spending in health	81
II.3.4.	Structure of the EU's and euro area's government expenditure by COFOG I function, 2007	84
II.3.5.	Structure of government expenditure by countries, 2007	84

II.3.6.	COFOG II level breakdown of 2007 government expenditure on social protection	85
II.3.7.	COFOG II level breakdown of 2007 government expenditure on education	86
II.3.8.	COFOG II level breakdown of 2007 government expenditure on health	86
II.4.1.	Fiscal rules in the EU Member States by sub-sector	89
II.4.2.	Fiscal rules in the EU Member States by type of rule	89
II.4.3.	Fiscal rule index and average primary cyclically-adjusted balance in the EU-27 in the period 2000-2008	92
II.4.4.	Development of the fiscal rule index in the EU	92
II.4.5.	Development of the fiscal rule index in selected EU Member States	92
II.4.6.	Coordination, monitoring, corrective mechanisms and target revisions in domestic MTBFs	97
II.4.7.	MTBF index scores and ranking in the EU27	98
II.4.8.	Quality of medium-term budgetary frameworks and fiscal rules, 2008	98
III.2.1.	Types of fiscal costs from financial crises	114
III.3.1.	Gross and net fiscal costs from systemic banking crises (1970-2007)	118
III.3.2.	Net fiscal costs and output costs of financial crises (1970-2007)	118
III.3.3.	General government balances during banking crises (% of GDP)	123
III.3.4.	Change in general government expenditure and revenue during banking crises (% of GDP)	124
III.3.5.	Selected crises episodes: Developments in primary general government (% of GDP)	125
III.3.6.	Selected crises episodes: Primary general government balances during crisis (% of GDP)	126
III.3.7.	Gross public debt in crises episodes (% of GDP)	126
III.3.8.	Debt projections during a financial crisis for EU-OECD countries	133
III.4.1.	Japan – Key fiscal variables during the crisis	135
III.4.2.	Korea – Key fiscal variables during the crisis	135
III.4.3.	Sweden - Key fiscal variables during the crisis	136
III.4.4.	Finland – Key fiscal variables during the crisis	137
III.4.5.	Norway – Key fiscal variables during the crisis	138
III.6.1.	EU Member States – Key fiscal indicators	148
III.6.2.	World real GDP growth during major banking crises	152
III.6.3.	Real effective exchange rate during banking crisis (% change)	152
III.6.4.	Sovereign bond spreads of selected EU Member States	156
All.1.	Output losses of systemic banking crises (1970-2007)	163
IV.1.1.	House prices, mortgage loans and other credits in selected EU countries	168
IV.1.2.	Annual average change in current accounts and mortgage debt (1998-2007)	170
IV.2.1.	Government revenues and expenditure growth in selected EU countries, 1999-2010	172
IV.2.2.	Tax revenue windfalls/shortfalls in the EU, 1999-2008 (% GDP)	172
IV.2.3.	Property taxes in 1999 and 2007 (% of GDP)	173
IV.2.4.	Property transaction taxes in 1999 and 2007 (% of GDP)	173
IV.2.5.	Taxes on transactions in property in 1999 and 2007 (% of GDP)	173
IV.2.6.	Impulse-response function: government revenues and expenditure vs house price changes	175
IV.2.7.	Impulse-response function: government revenues vs house price changes with credit exogenous	177
IV.2.8.	Fiscal policy in the context of building-up of imbalances in a representative small euro area Member State (% of potential GDP)	181
IV.3.1.	Government contingent liabilities related to the banking sector in the EU, 1999-2009	183
IV.3.2.	Shrinking fiscal space in the EU	185

IV.3.3.	Fiscal space and government bond spreads in the euro area	187
IV.3.4.	Fiscal space and government bond spreads in non euro-area countries	187
IV.3.5.	Fiscal stimulus and risk premia (% of GDP)	188
IV.3.6.	Quest III simulations of the unwinding of imbalances and fiscal policy: countries with large fiscal space (% of GDP)	189
IV.3.7.	Quest III simulations of the unwinding of imbalances and fiscal policy: countries with small fiscal space (% of GDP)	190
V.6.1.	Estonia: Net lending / borrowing (% of GDP) and structural balance (% of GDP)	211
V.7.1.	Ireland - property-related tax revenue	214
V.10.1.	Fiscal revenue and fiscal revenue net of discretionary measures (in % of GDP)	221
V.11.1.	Spread 10-year government bond yield	225
V.11.2.	Interest rates on Italian securities	225
V.20.1.	Orthogonalised impulse-response functions based on vector autoregression for Poland	244
V.20.2.	Short-term plans and outturns	244
V.20.3.	The evolution of public investment (ESA95) by local and central government in Poland	244
V.20.4.	Regional GDP per capita and public investment (non ESA95) in different government subsectors, long-term averages for 1999-2006	244

LIST OF BOXES

I.1.1.	The European Economic Recovery Plan	16
I.1.2.	Fiscal policy measures	18
I.1.3.	EU balance-of-payments assistance	20
I.1.4.	The behaviour of tax revenues and the financial crisis	27
II.3.1.	Pros and cons of composite indicators	72
II.4.1.	Key findings in the 2005 survey on national fiscal rules	88
II.4.2.	Main features of the new fiscal rules over the period 2005-2008	90
II.4.3.	Criteria used to calculate the index of strength of fiscal rules	91
II.4.4.	Key findings in the 2005 survey on independent fiscal institutions	94
II.4.5.	Key findings in the 2006 survey	96
II.4.6.	Criteria used to calculate the quality index of domestic MTBFs	97
III.3.1.	How to measure output costs?	120
III.3.2.	Econometric estimation of the impact of a financial crisis on the output gap	131
III.6.1.	Financial crises in the global economy	150
IV.2.1.	Determinants of revenue windfalls/shortfalls in the EU	178