

## TITLE

2 **Phenolic characterization of red grapes autochthonous to Andalusia**

## 4 AUTHORS

Raúl F. Guerrero, Ali Liazid<sup>+</sup>, Miguel Palma<sup>+</sup>, Belén Puertas, Rocío González<sup>\*</sup>,

6 Ángel Gil-Izquierdo<sup>\*</sup>, Carmelo García-Barroso<sup>+</sup>, Emma Cantos-Villar \*

## ADDRESS

8 Instituto de Investigación y Formación Agraria y Pesquera (IFAPA) Rancho de La  
Merced. Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa

10 Ctra. Trebujena, Km 3.2. Apto 589

11.471 Jerez de la Frontera (Cádiz). Spain

12 Tel.: +34 956034618, Fax: +34 956034610

email: [emma.cantos@juntadeandalucia.es](mailto:emma.cantos@juntadeandalucia.es)

14 <sup>+</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, CAIV, University of Cadiz.

<sup>\*</sup> Research Group on Quality, Safety and Bioactivity of Plant Foods, CEBAS-CSIC

16

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

## Abstract

2 Twenty six phenolic compounds in wine grapes were identified and quantified in five  
winegrape varieties using the complementary information from high-performance liquid  
4 chromatography coupled to diode array and fluorescence detectors, and mass  
spectrometry in both positive and negative mode.

6 Fourteen different anthocyanins were identified in these grapes. In all varieties, malvidin  
3-glucoside and its derivatives, mainly *p*-coumaroyl derivatives, were the major  
8 compounds. Seven flavonols were detected, most as quercetin and myricetin derivatives,  
and few qualitative differences were found amount varieties. Total hydroxycinnamic  
10 content was rather low in all varieties. Lastly, catechin and epicatechin were detected in  
both skin and seed; differences in respect of the content in the seeds can be attributed to  
12 differences in the number and weight of seed per berry in each variety.

The results of the characterization can be used to select winemaking techniques aimed at  
14 improving the quality of the final wine.

16 **Keywords:** Anthocyanins; flavan-3-ols; flavonols; fluorescence detection;  
hydroxycinnamic acids; mass spectrometry; UV-visible detection; winegrapes; seed;  
18 skin.

## 1. Introduction

2 Content in phenolic compounds is one of the main factors in the quality of grapes and  
wine. The phenolic composition of a wine depends primarily on the phenolic content of  
4 the grapes and secondarily on the winemaking techniques employed.

The grape anthocyanins are monoglucosides of five anthocyanidins, namely delphinidin,  
6 cyanidin, petunidin, peonidin and malvidin. The acylated anthocyanins are esters of the  
glucose moiety of the free anthocyanins with acetic, *p*-coumaric or caffeic acids.

8 Flavonols are found in grape skins as glycosides of myricetin, quercetin, kaempferol,  
isorhamnetin, syringetin and laricitrin. Flavan-3-ols (monomeric catechins and  
10 polymeric proanthocyanidins) are another large family of polyphenolic compounds  
comprising mainly catechin, epicatechin, galocatechin, epigallocatechin and their  
12 corresponding polymers, which are found in skin and seed. Hydroxycinnamic esters are  
the third most abundant group of phenolic compounds in grapes, comprising mainly  
14 caftaric, coutaric, fertaric, and tartrate esters (Rodriguez, Aguilar, & Gomez, 2006).

Anthocyanins are directly responsible for color. Flavonols and hydroxycinnamic acid  
16 derivatives are involved in the stabilization of anthocyanins in young red wines through  
copigmentation (Boulton, 2001). Flavan-3-ols are mainly responsible for wine  
18 astringency, bitterness and the “structure” of wines (Kennedy, Saucier, & Glories,  
2006). Moreover, recent studies have shown that they can play a very important role in  
20 the stabilization of red color in wines (Sun, Santos, Leandro, De Freitas, & Spanger,  
2007).

22 The interest of winemakers in the polyphenol content of grapes is increasing, as it offers  
ways of influencing the color, bitterness, astringency, “mouth-feel” and “age-ability” of  
24 wines. This interest is bound to increase further, since phenolics have been reported to

1 have multiple biological properties such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-  
2 atherosclerosis, cardioprotective and cancer protective effects (Soleas, Grass, Josephy,  
Goldberg, & Diamandis, 2006; Fresco, Borges, Diniz, & Marques, 2006).

4 In grape berries, phenolic compounds are mainly found in skin and seed. Anthocyanins  
are the most abundant phenolics in red grape skins, while seeds are rich in flavan 3-ols.

6 The amount of phenolics in grapes depends on the variety of grapevine and is highly  
influenced by viticultural and environmental factors such as light, temperature, altitude,  
8 soil type, water, nutritional status, pathogenesis, and various developmental processes  
(Downey, Dokoozlian, & Krstic, 2006). Temperature has a great influence on  
10 anthocyanin biosynthesis. Anthocyanin levels in Cabernet Sauvignon grapes are higher  
when day temperatures are constant at 20°C than at 30°C; therefore, increasing  
12 anthocyanin content is associated with grapes grown at higher altitudes. However this  
relationship is complicated by the effect of diurnal differences in temperature: lower  
14 night temperature result in greater accumulation of anthocyanins (Mori, Sugaya, &  
Gemma, 2005). In very hot seasons, reduction in grape berry color has been observed.

16 Whether this decrease occurs through degradation of existing anthocyanins or reduced  
anthocyanin biosynthesis is not known.

18 An additional climate impact on phenolic compounds is the positive relationship  
between sunlight exposure and increased flavonol accumulation. (Downey, Harvey, &  
20 Robison, 2004; Cortell, & Kennedy, 2006). In contrast, bunch exposure to sunlight has  
little effect on flavan-3-ols, as these compounds occur mainly in the seed. The effect of  
22 sunlight on the concentrations of hydroxycinnamics is still under study (Kolb, Kopecky,  
Riederer, & Pfundel, 2003).

Information is available on the identification and quantification of phenolic compounds  
2 in grapes by families (Pomar, Novo, & Masa, 2005; Castillo-Muñoz, Gomez-Alonso,  
Garcia-Romero, & Hermosin-Gutierrez, 2007). However information on particular  
4 phenolic compounds in grape varieties is rather scarce, and to the best of our  
knowledge, does not exist for varieties of red grape for winemaking autochthonous to  
6 Andalusia.

Andalusian red grape varieties for winemaking have been cultivated since the 19th  
8 century. They almost disappeared during the 20th century but recently these varieties  
have shown a steady increase in area cultivated and wine produced, associated with the  
10 introduction of red wines as part of the diversification plan for the Andalusian wines  
sector (MAPA, 2007).

12 The objective of the present study is to identify and quantify phenolic compounds in five  
red winegrape varieties cultivated under particularly warm conditions. Grapes of three  
14 varieties autochthonous to Andalusia (Jaen tinto, Palomino negro and Tintilla de Rota)  
and two others most commonly used in Spain, and all over the world (Cabernet  
16 Sauvignon and Tempranillo) were analyzed and compared, with a view to advising on  
the most appropriate winemaking techniques, and to promoting the varietal character of  
18 the respective young red wines.

## 20 **2. Material and methods**

### *2.1. Reagents*

22 Malvidin-glucoside was purchased from Polyphenols A. S. (Sandnes, Norway);  
quercetin 3-rutinoside was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); catechin and

caffaic acid were purchased from Sigma (Madrid, Spain). Analytical grades of acetic  
2 acid, formic acid and methanol (MeOH) were supplied by Panreac (Barcelona, Spain).  
Mili-Q system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) ultrapure water was used throughout in  
4 this research.

## 2.2. Winegrapes

6 Three autochthonous red grape varieties: Jaen tinto (JT), Palomino negro (PNO),  
Tintilla de Rota (TR) and two varieties used in many countries: Cabernet Sauvignon  
8 (CS) and Tempranillo (TEM) were harvested at their stage of optimum maturity in the  
summer of 2006. They were grown in Jerez de la Frontera (IFAPA, Rancho de la  
10 Merced).

## 2.3. Extraction of anthocyanins and flavonols

12 Grapes were stored at -20 °C until analyzed. Analyses were carried out according to the  
protocol of Cantos *et al.* (Cantos, Espin, & Tomas-Barberan, 2002) with some  
14 modifications. Briefly, grapes were peeled using a sharp knife, and the skins were  
homogenized in Ultraturrax T-25 equipment (Janke and Kunkel, Ika-Labortechnik,  
16 Deutschland, Germany) at 24000 rpm for 1 min after addition of 2 mL of a solution  
MeOH-formic acid (95:5, v/v) per gram of grape skin. Then the extracts were subjected  
18 to extraction for 5 h in conditions of darkness and cold. The extract was centrifuged at  
5000 rpm for 5 min in a Centromix centrifuge (Selecta, Barcelona, Spain), filtered  
20 through a 0.22 µm membrane filter Olim Peak (Tecknocroma, Barcelona, Spain) and  
analyzed by HPLC. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### 2.4. HPLC analysis of anthocyanins and flavonols

2 The filtered skin extracts (20  $\mu$ L) were analyzed using a Waters HPLC system with a  
model 1525 pump and a Waters 996 diode array detector. Separations were performed  
4 on a Mediterranea Sea<sub>18</sub> column (Tecknokroma, Barcelona, Spain) (RP-18, 250 x 4.6  
cm; 5  $\mu$ m particle size) and a guard column of the same material, at 30 °C. The mobile  
6 phase consisted of water with 5% formic acid (v/v) (solvent A) and HPLC grade MeOH  
(solvent B) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The elution program involved gradient elution  
8 from 20%B to reach 35% at 30 min, 40% B at 45 min, 50% B at 60 min, 60%B at 70  
min, and 95% at 75 min; and isocratic elution, 95%B from 75-80 min. Anthocyanins  
10 were quantified at 520 nm as Malvidin 3-glucoside (LOD= 0.074 ppm, LQD= 0.240  
ppm) and flavonols at 360 nm as quercetin 3-rutinoside (LOD= 0.123 ppm, LQD= 0.409  
12 ppm).

#### 2.5. Extraction of flavan-3-ols and hydroxycinnamic derivatives

14 An ASE-200 extractor (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used for the pressurized  
liquid extractions of both flavan-3-ols and hydroxycinnamic derivatives (Piñeiro, Palma,  
16 & Barroso, 2004). The extraction cell volume was 11 mL and the collection vial volume  
was 40 mL. Sea sand (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) has been used as supporting material  
18 in the extraction chamber. The extraction cell was filled with the extraction solvent  
(MeOH), pressurized up to 100 atm and then heated up to 100 °C. The sample (grape  
20 skins for hydroxycinnamics and flavan-3-ols, and grape seed for flavan-3-ols) was then  
extracted by three static extraction cycles of 10 min. After the extraction, the cell was  
22 rinsed with fresh solvent (100% of the extraction cell volume) and purged with a flow of  
nitrogen for 300 s. The extract was collected into a 60 mL chamber glass vial. The

process consumed approximately 22 mL of the solvent. The sample volume was brought up to 25 mL, and then filtered through a 0.45- $\mu$ m nylon syringe filter (Millex-HN, Ireland) before chromatographic analysis. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### 2.6. HPLC analysis flavan-3-ols and hydroxycinnamic derivatives

The analyses of the extracts were performed by HPLC in a Waters system consisting of an autosampler (717 plus), pump controller (600S), pump (616), a photodiode array detector (996) and a fluorescence detector (474), using a RP-18 column (LiChrospher 100, 250 mm  $\times$  3 mm, 5  $\mu$ m particle size, Merck, Germany) and a gradient of acidified water (2% acetic acid, v/v) (solvent A) and methanol–water–acetic acid (90:8:2, v/v/v) (solvent B) at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The gradient was as follows: 0 min, 20% B; 10 min, 25% B; 20 min, 50% B; 21 min, 100% B. The UV absorbance was monitored from 200 to 400 nm. The identification of compounds was made by comparison of retention times with pure standards, as well as by UV-Visible spectra and MS spectra. Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives were quantified at 320 nm as caffeic acid (LOD= 0.064 ppm, LQD= 0.212 ppm) and flavan-3-ols as catechin using the fluorescence signal (excitation wavelength 290 nm, emission wavelength 320 nm) (LOD= 0.024 ppm, LQD= 0.074 ppm).

#### 2.7. HPLC-MS-MS

Chromatographic separation was carried out for each phenolic class as detailed above (section 2.4 and section 2.6). An Agilent Technologies series 1100 system, equipped with vacuum degasser, a binary pump, an autosampler, a thermostated column compartment, a DAD and an on-line ion-trap mass spectrometer, connected to Agilent ChemStation software (Waldbronn, Germany). MS interface was an electrospray

ionisation system (ESI). Capillary temperature and capillary voltage were maintained at 350°C and 4 kV, respectively. Mass scan (MS) and MS/MS spectra were recorded from m/z 100 up to m/z 1500. Collision-induced fragmentation experiments were performed in the ion trap using helium as collision gas, with voltage ramping cycles from 0.3 up to 2 V. Maximum accumulation time of the ion trap and the number of MS repetitions to obtain the MS average spectra was set at 300 ms and 5, respectively. Mass spectrometry data were acquired in both positive and negative ion mode.

### 3. Results and discussion

Polyphenol analyses were carried out in the grape skin since that is the part of the grape that contributes the greater part of these compounds to wine. In addition, the content of flavan-3-ol monomers was also determined in seed, because they are very abundant in seed and can be extracted during the winemaking process.

The anthocyanins have maximum sensitivity in positive mode due to their inherent positive charge. In contrast, flavonols, hydroxycinnamics and flavan-3-ols provide highest sensitivity in negative ionization mode (Cuyckens, & Claeys, 2004).

#### 3.1. Anthocyanins

A total of 14 phenolic compounds were identified as anthocyanins thanks to the information provided by their UV-Vis spectra (Figure 1). This primary information differentiated 5 glycosylated anthocyanins ( $\lambda$  maxima at 277-280, and 516-527 and shoulder at 328-349 nm): delphinidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-glucoside, petunidin 3-glucoside, peonidin 3-glucoside and malvidin 3-glucoside; and 9 acylglycosylated anthocyanins ( $\lambda$  maxima at 278-283, and 522-535 and shoulder at 311-347 nm): delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside, petunidin 3-acetylglucoside, peonidin 3-acetylglucoside,

malvidin 3-acetylglucoside, malvidin 3-*cis-p*-coumaroylglucoside, malvidin 3-  
2 caffeoylglucoside, cyanidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside, petunidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside  
and malvidin 3-*trans-p*-coumaroylglucoside. Among the non-acylated anthocyanins and  
4 after MS<sup>2</sup> events, 5 fragments ions were identified at *m/z* 303, 287, 317, 301 and 331  
corresponding to the aglycones delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin and malvidin,  
6 respectively (**1-5**) (Table 1). The analyses of the molecular ions identified these  
anthocyanins as monoglucosylated thanks to the common neutral loss at 162 amu (Table  
8 1). The position of the glucosylation was placed at 3 on the aglycone ring due to their  
UV-Vis spectra (Boido, Alcalde-Eon, Carrau, Dellacassa, & Rivas-Gonzalo, 2006;  
10 Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006). Regarding the acylated  
anthocyanins, compounds **6-9** showed the molecular ions at *m/z* 507, 521, 505 and 535  
12 and corresponding fragment ions at *m/z* 303, 317, 301, and 331, respectively. The  
difference of amu between the molecular ions and the fragment ions provided the  
14 identification the de acetylglucosylated anthocyanins: delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside,  
petunidin 3-acetylglucoside, peonidin 3-acetylglucoside and malvidin 3-acetylglucoside  
16 (Boido, Alcalde-Eon, Carrau, Dellacassa, & Rivas-Gonzalo, 2006). Compounds **10, 12,**  
**13,** and **14** presented a shoulder in the UV-Vis spectra within the range of 309-313 nm  
18 and fragmentation patterns characteristic of compounds acylated with *p*-coumaric acid,  
thus allowing their identification as *p*-coumaroyl derivatives of the monoglucosylated  
20 malvidin (*cis* and *trans*), cyanidin, and petunidin (Table 1). Only an acylated  
anthocyanin showed UV-Vis spectra of caffeoyl derivative of anthocyanin (282, 326,  
22 436 and 532 nm). This information plus the fragmentation pattern of its molecular ion  
led to the identification of the malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside (compound **11**, Table 1).

Quantitative differences in anthocyanins from red winegrape varieties were also found (Table 1). Total anthocyanin content ranged from 906 mg/kg of fresh weight of grapes (fw) for JT (the poorest variety) to 2540 mg/kg of fw for TR (the richest source) (Table 1). These ranges are in agreement with those previously described for TEM and CS (Ryan, & Revilla, 2003). As in previous characterizations (Revilla, Garcia-Beneytez, Cabello, Martin-Ortega, & Ryan, 2001; Mazza, 1995; Kallithraka, Mohdaly, Makris, & Kefalas, 2005), malvidin 3-glucoside was the main anthocyanin in all the varieties (from 30% of total anthocyanin in TEM to 50% in JT). For autochthonous Andalusian varieties, the first time that these data are reported. CS grapes did not contain cyanidin 3-glucoside, a finding reported by other authors (Revilla, Garcia-Beneytez, Cabello, Martin-Ortega, & Ryan, 2001; Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006). Among the grape varieties studied, malvidin derivatives (acylated and non-acylated) are the main anthocyanins present in the skin. However, not all acylated derivatives were detected in all varieties. Malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside was detected in all winegrape varieties except TEM, and accounted for 2-4% of total anthocyanin. In two of the three autochthonous varieties studied (JT and TR) were not detected delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside or petunidin 3-*O*-acetylglucoside. In TEM variety was not detected peonidin 3-*O*-acetylglucoside, malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside neither cyanidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside. In CS all the anthocyanin derivatives were present except derivatives from cyanidin. PNO was the only variety with detectable amounts of all the anthocyanins described.

Malvidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside (*cis* and *trans* together) was the most abundant derivative in all the varieties (accounting for around 20% of total anthocyanin) (Table 1). In contrast with other varieties, CS showed a high content of malvidin 3-

acetylglucoside (around 34% of total anthocyanin) (Table 1) as in previous studies  
2 (Revilla, Garcia-Beneytez, Cabello, Martin-Ortega, & Ryan, 2001).

Other minor *p*-coumaroyl derivatives were also detected in grapes, some of them only in  
4 trace amounts. Peonidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside was detected in TR and JT but not in  
quantities comparable with those previously reported in different winegrape varieties  
6 (Boido, Alcalde-Eon, Carrau, Dellacassa, & Rivas-Gonzalo, 2006). Results showed  
higher contents of *p*-coumaroyl-anthocyanin derivatives, mainly from malvidin and  
8 petunidin, a finding which has previously been associated with warm climates (Downey,  
Dokoozlian, & Krstic, 2006; Cortell, & Kennedy, 2006, Ryan, & Revilla, 2003).

10 Although the anthocyanin profile may be complex and quite different for each variety  
studied, it is notable that the TR variety showed the highest anthocyanin concentration  
12 in grapes, largely due to it having the smallest berry size, among other factors. On the  
other hand, PNO presented the widest variety of anthocyanin types. In the non-  
14 autochthonous varieties, the total anthocyanin content of CS was nearly double that of  
TEM.

16 From the description presented above, anthocyanins could be considered useful markers  
for distinguishing grape varieties, although this characteristic should be used with care  
18 since anthocyanin content is heavily influenced not only by agronomic factors such as  
soil composition, irrigation, light intensity, etc., but also by the year's climatic  
20 conditions (Conde, Silva, Fontes, Dias, Tavares, Sousa, Agasse, Delrot, & Geros, 2007;  
Adams, 2006).

### 22 3.2. *Flavonols*

The UV-vis spectra of flavonols were similar, giving only the information of the glycosylation at 3 position on the main ring. Then, the LC-MS/MS was the only tool to identify the flavonols detected in red winegrape varieties. Eight main flavonols were found in the red winegrape varieties studied (**15-21**): myricetin-3-glucuronide, myricetin-3-glucoside, quercetin-3-glucuronide, quercetin-3-glucoside plus quercetin-3-rutinoside, kaempferol-3-glucoside, isorhamnetin 3-glucoside and syringetin-3-glucoside (Figure 1.B; Table 2). Compounds **15** and **16** showed different deprotonated ions, but common ion fragment at  $m/z$  317 which corresponded to myricetin. The difference about the neutral loss, 176 and 162 concluded these compounds as myricetin 3-glucuronide and myricetin 3-glucoside, respectively. For compounds **17** and **18**, the ion after MS<sup>2</sup> experiments were 301, quercetin. The first parent ion at  $m/z$  477 was quercetin 3-glucuronide and the deprotonated ion at  $m/z$  609 provided the fragment ion at  $m/z$  301 that corresponded to a rhamnoglucosilated quercetin.. The other three compounds, **19**, **20** and **21** were described as kaempferol, isorhamnetin and syringetin according to the corresponding fragment ions after MS<sup>2</sup> events. In these three cases, the sugar moiety was glucose (162, neutral loss). Therefore, these compounds were identified as kaempferol 3-glucoside (**19**), isorhamnetin 3-glucoside (**20**) and syringetin 3-glucoside (**21**). These results were in agreement with previous studies (Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006).

Other authors have described the presence of laricitrin derivatives in TEM and CS (Castillo-Muñoz, Gomez-Alonso, Garcia-Romero, & Hermosin-Gutierrez, 2007; Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006). However, the flavonol laricitrin was not found in any of the five grape varieties studied here. This discrepancy could be explained by agronomic factors and/or extraction protocols.

Total flavonols content ranged from 221 mg/kg fw to 538 mg/kg fw (Table 2). The main flavonols found were quercetin and myricetin derivatives, both in similar proportions (Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006), and differences found between varieties were small. Two of the autochthonous varieties, JT and PNO, showed lower levels of flavonols in comparison with the non-autochthonous. Kaempferol-3-glucoside accounted for 6-12% of total flavonol content, in agreement with previous results for CS and TEM (Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006). Isorhamnetin 3-glucoside and syringetin 3-glucoside were detected in CS, TR and JT, although in the latter variety, in relative low amounts.

It should be noted that total flavonol content was higher than previously described (Mattivi, Guzzon, Vrhovsek, Stefanini, & Velasco, 2006). This could be explained by higher exposure to UV in warm climates (Cortell, & Kennedy, 2006). This fact is important because of the anti-carcinogenic activity of flavonols shown in clinical trials with humans (Williamson, & Manach, 2005).

### *3.3. Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives*

The UV spectra of the compound **22** gave as result a maxima at 326 nm and a shoulder at 296 nm, characteristic of a caffeoyl derivative. The deprotonated molecular ion at  $m/z$  311 and the fragment ion at  $m/z$  179 confirmed the caffeic acid nature of the compound. Therefore, the additional information about the neutral loss of 132 amu identified the compound as caftaric acid (caffeoyltartaric acid) (Table 3). Compound **23** showed deprotonated molecular ion at  $m/z$  325 and main fragment ion at  $m/z$  193, and so was identified by mass spectrometry as fertaric acid (feruloyltartaric acid). Compound **24** showed UV maxima at 306 nm and a shoulder at 226 nm characteristic of a coumaroyl

derivative. The fragment ion after MS2 event at m/z 163 (coumaric acid residue) and the  
2 neutral loss respect to the parent ion (m/z 295) identified the compound as coumaric acid  
(coumaroyltartaric acid) (Table 3). The nature *trans* of these compounds were confirmed  
4 by comparison with authentic markers of them. The fragmentation pattern of these  
compounds was in agreement with that found for the esters of caffeic acid, like  
6 caffeoylquinic acids which are fragmented at the ester bond (Gil-Izquierdo, &  
Mellenthin, 2001). These compounds have been previously reported in grapes (Palma,  
8 Piñeiro, & Barroso, 2001) (Figure 2).

The total amount of hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives ranged from 1.5 mg/kg for CS up  
10 to 3.1 for TR (Table 3). However, feroyltartaric acid could not be quantified as it was  
found at less than the LQ (0.05 mg/kg fw) in all varieties. Levels previously described  
12 for these hydroxycinnamic derivatives are rather scarce. For CS and TEM some authors  
have previously described higher contents than those found in our study (Rodriguez,  
14 Aguilar, & Gomez, 2006).

TR showed the highest levels in hydroxycinnamic derivatives, as occurred with  
16 anthocyanins and flavonols. In contrast, for the hydroxycinnamic derivatives, JT did not  
show lower levels than the other varieties; it showed similar values as PNO and higher  
18 levels than the non-autochthonous varieties.

Table 3 shows for each variety the individual concentrations of both main  
20 hydroxycinnamic derivatives. The ratio between them was almost constant, ranging  
from 1.4 to 1.6 for the five varieties studied. This suggests that both compounds are  
22 being synthesized and/or degraded in the same way, regardless of grape variety.

These data show a clear difference between autochthonous and non-autochthonous varieties. Levels found for both coumaric and caffeic acids in the autochthonous varieties were from 1.5 to 1.8 times higher than in the non-autochthonous varieties. As stated before, the effect of sunlight on the levels of these compounds is not yet well established.

#### 3.4. Flavan-3-ols

Seeds are the main source of these monomers in grapes, their presence in grape pulp and grape skins usually being negligible (Cortell, & Kennedy, 2006; Cheynier, Fulcrand, Brossaud, Asselin, & Moutounet, 1998). These compounds are relevant to wine since flavan-3-ols are extracted from seed during winemaking (Gambutì, Strollo, Ugliano, Lecce, & Moio, 2004).

Catechin and epicatechin were identified by fluorescence in grape skins and seeds (Figure 3). As expected, the content of flavan-3-ol monomers in skin was low, ranging from 1.8 mg/kg of fw for TR, to 7.6 mg/kg of fw for TEM (Table 4). With respect to content in seed, these compounds ranged from 47 mg/kg of fw for JT to 179 mg/kg of fw for CS (Table 5). Despite the fact that a warm climate has been reported to produce a high content of flavan 3-ol monomers (Rodríguez, Aguilar, & Gomez, 2006), the levels found in the varieties studied were lower than those previously described (Piñeiro, Palma, & Barroso, 2004). As previously stated (Conde, Silva, Fontes, Dias, Tavares, Sousa, Agasse, Delrot, & Geros, 2007), apart from sunlight, there are many factors that influence phenolic content including flavan-3-ols.

Catechin was more abundant than epicatechin in four of the varieties analyzed, as reported by others (Piñeiro, Palma, & Barroso, 2004) but in JT the content of both compounds was similar, and relatively low.

As flavan-3-ols can be found mainly in grape seeds, two different factors may determine the final amount found in grapes, i.e. the concentration of these compounds in the grape seeds, and the total weight of the seeds in the grape. The proportion of seed to berry (seed/berry, w/w) in percentage together with the concentration of flavan-3-ols in seeds were the highest for CS (Table 5). Regarding the final concentration of flavan-3-ols in wines, the total number of seeds in grapes can play another important role: since seeds are not usually crushed during winemaking process, the efficiency of extraction of flavan-3-ols depends on the surface area of seeds. Therefore grapes containing more seeds per berry (Table 5) could contribute higher contents of these compounds in the final wine. TEM is the variety with the largest number of seeds; therefore the low concentration of flavan-3-ols in grape seeds could be overcome by a more efficient extraction process in this variety.

There is additional interest in flavan-3-ol monomers due to their wide range of beneficial effects for human health (Thomaset, Berry, Garcea, Marczylo, Steward, & Gescher, 2007).

### *3.5. Total phenolic compounds*

Total phenolics content was determined as the sum of anthocyanins, flavonols, hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives and flavan-3-ol monomers (together in skin and the seeds for each grape variety).

2 The TR variety presented considerably higher total phenolic content than the rest of the  
varieties; and the JT variety showed the lowest content. PNO, TEM and CS contained  
average concentrations related to the other two varieties (Table 6).

4 It is notable that all varieties showed similar proportions of the various types of  
phenolics, although TEM stood out because of its relatively low percentage of  
6 anthocyanins and high percentage of flavonols. Similarly, CS stood out for its high  
flavan-3-ol monomer contribution to total phenolics (Table 6).

8 From the data presented in this paper, several specific winemaking techniques could be  
suggested depending on the variety. For example, for TEM grapes cold maceration or  
10 soaking could be considered for a better extraction of anthocyanins and therefore for  
enhanced color. In contrast, for CS a short period of maceration after alcoholic  
12 fermentation would be recommended to avoid high astringency in the wine (Sacchi,  
Bisson, & Adams, 2005). TR presents high potential according to its polyphenolic  
14 profile. A standard winemaking process is suggested to obtain wines which express the  
varietal flavours of this variety; meanwhile a proof extraction process, such as an  
16 increase in the frequency of pumping over, can produce a full-body wine optimum for  
aged in oak.

## 18 **CONCLUSION**

In summary, twenty six phenolic compounds belonging to four phenolic classes  
20 (anthocyanins, flavonols, hydroxycinnamic acids and flavan-3-ols) have been  
characterized in the skin and seeds of five red wine grape varieties by liquid  
22 chromatography coupled with DAD, fluorescence detector and ESI-MS in negative and  
positive modes. As far as we are aware, such a complete study has not previously been

carried out, nor has any similar data related to varieties autochthonous to Andalusia  
2 been published.

TR was the variety that presented the highest content of anthocyanins, flavonols and  
4 hydroxycinnamic derivatives, but not of flavan 3-ol monomers. In this last case, the CS  
variety stood out for its relatively high content of flavan 3-ol monomers, probably due to  
6 the high seed/berry proportion in this variety.

Thus, the phenolic content of grapes does not depend on whether the variety is  
8 autochthonous or non-autochthonous; it appears to be mainly a question of the  
characteristics of the particular variety, as well as the agroclimatic factors.

10 The findings of this and similar studies is useful for optimizing winemaking processes  
to produce wines “tailor-made” to predetermined requirements, depending largely on  
12 their detailed phenolic content. This approach is especially relevant in an area such as  
Andalusia (Southern Spain) which has such a warm climate, yet does not have a  
14 tradition of making red wines, but where interest and ability in this sector is currently  
increasing.

16

## LITERATURE CITED

- 2 Adams, O. D. (2006). Phenolics and ripening in grape berries. *American Journal of  
Enology and Viticulture*, 57(3), 249-256.
- 4 Boido, E., Alcalde-Eon, C., Carrau, F., Dellacassa, E., & Rivas-Gonzalo, J. C. (2006).  
Aging effect on the pigment composition and color of *Vitis vinifera* L. Cv. Tannat  
6 wines. Contribution of the main pigment families to wine color. *Journal of  
Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(18), 6692-6704.
- 8 Boulton, R. (2001). The copigmentation of anthocyanins and its role in the color of red  
wine: a critical review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 52, 67-87.
- 10 Cantos, E., Espín, J. C., & Tomas-Barberan, F. A. (2002). Varietal differences among  
the polyphenol profiles of seven table grape cultivars studied by LC-DAD-MS-MS  
12 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50(20), 5691-5696.
- Castillo-Muñoz, N., Gomez-Alonso, S., Garcia-Romero, E., & Hermosin-Gutierrez, I.  
14 (2007). Flavonol profiles of *Vitis vinifera* Red grapes and their single-cultivar  
wines. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55 (3), 992-1002.
- 16 Cheynier, V., Fulcrand, H., Brossaud, F., Asselin, C., & Moutounet, M. (1998).  
Phenolic composition as related to red wine flavor. In Waterhouse A. L., & Ebele,  
18 S. E., *Chemistry of Wine Flavor*, (pp 125-141). New York: Oxford University.
- Conde, C., Silva, P., Fontes, N., Dias, A. C. P., Tavares, R.M., Sousa, M.J., Agasse, A.,  
20 Delrot, S., & Geros, H. (2007). Biochemical changes throughout grape berry  
development and fruit and wine quality. *Food*, 1, 1-22.

- 1 Cortell, J. M., & Kennedy, J. A. (2006). Effect of shading on accumulation of flavonoid  
2 compounds in (*Vitis vinifera* L.) pinot noir fruit and extraction in a model system.  
*Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54, 8510-8520.
- 4 Cuyckens, F., Claeys, M. (2004). Mass spectrometry in the structural analysis of  
flavonoids. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 39, 1-15.
- 6 Downey, M. O., Dokoozlian, N. K., & Krstic, M. P. (2006). Cultural practice and  
environmental impacts on the flavonoid composition of grapes and wine: a review  
8 of recent research. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 57(3), 257-268.
- Fernandez, K., Kennedy, J. A., & Agosin, E. (2007). Characterization of *Vitis vinifera*  
10 L. Cv. Carmenere grape and wine proanthocyanidins. *Journal of Agricultural and  
Food Chemistry*, 55(9), 3675-3680.
- 12 Fresco, P., Borges, F., Diniz, C., & Marques, M. P. (2006). New insights on the  
anticancer properties of dietary polyphenols. *Medical Research Review*, 26(6), 747-  
14 766.
- Gambutì, A., Stollo, D., Ugliano, M., Lecce, L., & Moio, L. (2004). *trans*-Resveratrol,  
16 quercetin, catechin, and epicatechin content in south italian monovarietal wines:  
relationship with maceration time and marc pressing during winemaking. *Journal of  
18 Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 5747-5751.
- Gil-Izquierdo, A., Mellenthin, A. (2001). Identification and quantitation of flavonols in  
20 rowanberry juice (*Sorbus aucuparia*, L.) juice. *European Food Research and  
Technology*, 213, 12-17.
- 22 Hebrero, E., Santos-Buelga, C., & Rivas-Gonzalo, J. C. (1988). High performance  
liquid chromatography-diode array spectroscopy identification of anthocyanins of

- 2 *Vitis vinifera* variety Tempranillo. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*,  
39(3), 227-233.
- 4 Kallithraka, S., Mohdaly, A. A. A., Makris, D. P., & Kefalas, P. (2005). Determination  
of major anthocyanin pigments in Hellenic native grape varieties (*Vitis vinifera* sp.):  
6 association with antiradical activity. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*,  
18, 375-386.
- 8 Kennedy, J. A., Saucier, C., & Glories, Y. (2006). Grape and wine phenolics: history  
and perspective. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 57(3), 239-248.
- 10 Kolb, C. A., Kopecky, J., Riederer, M., & Pfundel, E. E. (2003). UV screening by  
phenolics in berries of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*). *Functional Plant Biology*, 30,  
1177-1186.
- 12 MAPA: Ministerio de Agricultura y Pesca. Annual data of Andalusian vineyards.  
(2007)  
14 <http://www.cap.juntaandalucia.es/agriculturaypesca/portal/opencms/portal/DGPAgraria/estadisticas>
- 16 <http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/agriculturaypesca/portal/opencms/portal/DGPAgraria/Estadisticas/estadisticasagrarias>
- 18 Mattivi, F., Guzzon, R., Vrhovsek, U., Stefanini, M., & Velasco, R. (2006). Metabolite  
profiling of grape: flavonols and anthocyanins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
20 *Chemistry*, 54(20), 7692-7702.
- 22 Mazza, G. (1995). Anthocyanins in grapes and grape products. *Critical Review Food*  
*Science*, 35, 341-371.

- 1 Mori, K., Sugaya, S., & Gemma, H. (2005). Decreased anthocyanin biosynthesis in  
2 grape berries grown under elevated night temperature condition. *Scientia  
Horticulturae*, 105, 319-330.
- 4 Palma, M., Piñeiro, Z., & Barroso, C. G. (2001). Stability of phenolic compounds  
during extraction with superheated solvents. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 921,  
6 169–174.
- 8 Piñeiro, Z., Palma, M., & Barroso, C. G. (2004). Determination of catechins by means  
of extraction with pressurized liquids. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1026, 19–23.
- 10 Pomar, F., Novo, M., & Masa, A. (2005). Varietal differences among the anthocyanin  
profiles of 50 red table grape cultivars studied by high performance liquid  
chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1094, 34-41.
- 12 Revilla, E., Garcia-Beneytez, E., Cabello, F., Martín-Ortega, G., & Ryan J. M. (2001).  
Value of high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of anthocyanins in  
14 differentiation of red grape cultivars and red wines made from them. *Journal of  
Chromatography A*, 915, 53-60.
- 16 Rodriguez, R. C., Aguilar, M. P., & Gomez, A. (2006). Determination of some  
hydroxybenzoic acids and catechins in white wine samples by liquid  
18 chromatography with luminescence detection. *Journal on Separation Science*,  
29(18), 2772-9.
- 20 Ryan, J. M., & Revilla, E. (2003). Anthocyanin composition of Cabernet sauvignon and  
Tempranillo grapes at different stages of ripening. *Journal of Agricultural and  
22 Food Chemistry*, 51, 3372-3378.

- 1 Sacchi, K. L., Bisson, L. F., & Adams, D. O. (2005). A review of the effect of  
2 winemaking techniques on phenolic extraction in red wines. *American Journal of  
Enology and Viticulture*, 56(3), 197-206.
- 4 Soleas, G. J., Grass, L., Josephy, P. D., Goldberg, D. M., & Diamandis, E. P. (2006). A  
comparison of the anticarcinogenic properties of four red wine polyphenols.  
6 *Clinical Biochemistry*, 39(5), 492-7.
- 8 Sun, B. S., Santos, C. P. R., Leandro, M. C., De Freitas, V., & Spranger, M. I. (2007).  
High-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass  
spectrometric characterization of new products formed by the reaction between  
10 flavanols and malvidin 3-glucoside in the presence of acetaldehyde. *Rapid  
Communication Mass Spectrometry*, 21, 2227-2236.
- 12 Thomasset, S. C., Berry, D. P., Garcea, G., Marczylo, T., Steward, W. P., & Gescher,  
A. J. (2007). Dietary polyphenolic phytochemicals-promising cancer  
14 chemopreventive agents in humans? A review of their clinical properties.  
*International Journal of Cancer*, 120(3), 451-458.
- 16 Williamson, G., & Manach, C. (2005). Bioavailability and bioefficacy of polyphenols  
in humans. II Review of 93 intervention studies. *American Journal of Clinical  
18 Nutrition*, 81, 243S-255S.

### **Acknowledgements**

- 20 The reported research has been funded by INIA (Grant RTA2005-00039) and Consejería  
de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa-Junta de Andalucía (Grant FQM-01282/2005). Dr. J.  
22 Barreiro-Hurle provided helpful insights for the final version of the manuscript.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

2 **Figure 1.** Anthocyanin (A) and flavonol (B) chromatographic pattern of grape skin.

(**Figure 1A**): delphinidin 3-glucoside (4), cyanidin 3-glucoside (2), petunidin 3-  
4 glucoside (3), peonidin 3-glucoside (4) and malvidin 3-glucoside (5), delphinidin 3-  
acetylglucoside (6), petunidin 3-acetylglucoside (7), peonidin 3-acetylglucoside (8),  
6 malvidin 3-acetylglucoside (9), malvidin 3-*cis-p*-coumaroylglucoside (10), malvidin 3-  
caffeoylglucoside (11), cyanidin 3-*p*-coumaroylglucoside (12), petunidin 3-*p*-  
8 coumaroylglucoside (13) and malvidin 3-*trans-p*-coumaroylglucoside (14).

(**Figure 1B**): myricetin-3-glucuronide (15), myricetin-3-glucoside (16), quercetin-3-  
10 glucuronide (17), quercetin-3-rutinoside (18), kaempferol-3-glucoside (19),  
isorhamnetin 3-glucoside (20) and syringetin-3-glucoside (21).

12 **Figure 2.** Hydroxycinnamic acid derivative chromatographic pattern of grape skin. (22)  
*trans*-caftaric acid, (23) *trans*-fertaric acid and (24) *trans*-coutaric acid.

14 **Figure 3.** Flavan 3-ol monomers chromatographic pattern grape skin. (25) catechin and  
(26) epicatechin.